GENESIS 1:20-2:3

Please turn in your Bibles this evening to Genesis chapter 1 as we continue our study through the Word of God.

Last week we began our third time through the Bible, and we did not finish chapter 1.

The reason I am taking my time going through this chapter is because it is foundational to the rest of the Bible.

Now that is a pretty strong statement to make, but if that is not true, then why are we believing anything that the Bible has to say? You see, it is that important.

Listen to this attack on Creation or that God has created all life, everything from nothing. We are told, "Two stories are possible. The first is creationist: God made man with some purpose that involved man's ability to appreciate and worship God. Let's forget that story. The whole point of science is to avoid such stories." (Leonard Susskind, The Cosmic Landscape: String Theory and the Illusion of Intelligent Design)

I thought science was to look at the facts, look at the evidence and from that come up with theories and then try to prove those theories?

I guess the whole point of science is to negate the fact that there is a God who in the beginning created everything. Folk's, that is not science, that is indoctrination towards evolution!

Have you ever thought about why so my children have lost hope, that they commit suicide in our day and age when years ago it was few and far between?

Evolution has given them no hope. You doubt that. Then listen to what the philosophy of this religion is all about.

This is from a book called - *Darwinism: Science or Naturalistic Philosophy*, and we are told,

Let me summarize my views on what modern evolutionary biology tells loud and clear.

. there are no gods, no purposes, no goal-directed forces of any kind.

- William B. Provine, Darwinism: Science or Naturalistic Philosophy, p. 9

So this is crammed down the throats of kids, there is no god, there is no purpose to life, and there are no goals! And you wonder why they have lost hope!

This one is from Julian Huxley in his book – Essays of a Humanist. He wrote,

In the evolutionary pattern of thought there is no longer either need or room for the supernatural. The earth was not created: it evolved.

So did all the animals and plants that inhabit it, including our human selves, mind and soul as well as brain and body. So did religion.

- Julian Huxley, Essays of a humanist, pp. 82-83

So we have taken God out of the picture. Life is not important; it is just a random set of chance happenings.

Thus, if you kill someone, if you take your own life, if you murder a baby in the womb through abortion, it really doesn't matter because life is not important!

That is why Darwinism, evolution is attacking these first 11 chapters of Genesis and especially the first two chapters, they are trying to destroy our faith!

You see, evolution tells us that everything came from nothing and from no information to more and more information and the outcome, amoeba to man, you just need long periods of time for that to happen.

It makes no sense, and it is not even a good theory and yet, they have moved evolution to the place of being a fact, no longer a theory.

Have they found more evidence to support this so-called theory?

Absolutely not!

In fact, Leon Ledermann, who is a Nobel Prize winning Physicist, said, "When you read or hear anything about the birth of the universe, someone is making it up."

So that is not science that is faith.

And the reality is, both creation and evolution are based on faith because none of us where there when it all started.

That may be hard to swallow, but it is true.

What we do, both sides, is look at the evidence and come to conclusions.

For me, it is easy, I can't believe in evolution because the evidence does not support it and we will see that tonight, God created all life!

Or, we can listen to what Discover Magazine tells us, "The universe burst into something from absolutely nothing – zero, nada. And as it got bigger, it became filled with even more stuff that came from absolutely nowhere." (Discover, April 2002, cover text)

Make no mistake about it, and I want you to be clear one this. The best evidence that is out there tells us, shows us that life cannot happen spontaneously out of nothing unless God created it. He not only created all the elements for life, but He also then assembled them together to make all that we see today!

And here's the thing. It is not my opinion, even evolutionists believe that there is no evidence for evolution.

Let me show you what I mean, and again, when you listen to evolutionists, you will see that many of them don't even believe it can be true, but, as George Wald points out for us, "When it comes to the origin of life there are only two possibilities: creation or spontaneous generation. There is no third way. Spontaneous generation was disproved one hundred years ago, but that leads us to only one other conclusion, that of supernatural creation. We cannot accept that on philosophical grounds; therefore, we choose to believe the impossible: that life arose spontaneously by chance." (Dr. George Wald, The Origin of Life, Scientific American, 191:48, May 1954)

Again, that is not science, that is faith. One more and this one also blows me away but if you want to believe in something that is not true, you have to suppress the truth to believe the lie of evolution.

Paul Davies, who is professor of physics at Arizona State University, wrote this in the magazine, *New Scientist*.

We now know that the secret of life lies not with the chemical ingredients as such, but with the logical structure and organizational arrangement of the molecules. . . . Like a supercomputer, life is an information processing system. . . . It is the software of the living cell that is the real mystery, not the hardware. . . . How did stupid atoms spontaneously write their own software: . . . Nobody knows. . . .

- Paul Davies, Life Force – New Scientist, September 18, 1999

I am sorry to say, they may not know, but I do – *IN THE BEGINNING GOD*CREATED THE HEAVENS AND THE EARTH! (Genesis 1:1)

In Nehemiah 9:6 we are told, "You alone are the Lord; You have made heaven, The heaven of heavens, with all their host, The earth and everything on it, The seas and all that is in them, And You preserve them all. The host of heaven worships You."

With that as our introduction to our study this evening, let's pick up on the fifth day of creation as we begin reading in Genesis chapter 1, starting in verse 20, and let's see what the Lord has for us as we study His Word.

GENESIS 1

VERSES 20-23

On the fifth day of creation God created all the creatures that live in the sea and all the creatures that fly in the air!

Let me start out with sea creatures. It has been estimated that there are over one million different species that live in the oceans and about 91% have not even been identified as yet!

I could not even find estimates of how many creatures live in the sea, but it is in the billions!

In regard to birds, there are over 10,000 different species and it has been estimated that there are some 430 billion birds!

God says that these waters and sky will abound with life and as we can see, that is exactly what the oceans and the skies are filled with, life!

Also, we can see from these verses that these creatures appeared suddenly as God created them instantly and distinctively out of nothing, as we see the Greek word *BARA* used.

We see the great variety of birds and sea creatures were created at the same time, not evolving slowly over millions of years. Even though plant life was created before animal life, animal life was not created out of plant life.

Now I know that many today, even some Christians believe in an evolutionary process.

And they may say to you, "Doesn't the fossil record prove that life slowly evolved over time and this life got more and more complex until here we are today? From the primordial soup to man!"

That is what the evolutionist tells us that life evolved from non-life, no information to complex life forms, you just need lots of time and poof – amoeba to man!

And yet, there is not one shred of evidence to support the theory of evolution and it is a theory folks, not a fact!

Even Richard Dawkins, an evolutionist, states that life just appeared, there is no transitional forms -NONE!

Listen to his shock at what he sees because he does not believe God created everything, but gradually over time life evolved! He said, "It is as though they were just planted there, without any evolutionary history. Needless to say, this appearance of sudden planting has delighted creationists." (Richard Dawkins, The Blind Watchmaker, p. 229)

As a scientist he should be just looking at the evidence and then coming to a conclusion or a theory, but if you don't want to believe in a Creator God, you can't accept the evidence that is before you!

And take a good look at the variety of sea life, how complex it is, and we are told that it just happened!

That is a fairy tale, and you have to have lots of faith to believe it because there is no evidence to support it!

Is there evolutionary changes or changes in life?

Yes, but not macroevolution or one creature turning into another, but microevolution where there are small changes in creatures.

Again, look at the variety of birds, fish, all kinds of life, but they are birds and fish and other things. And like it or not, they are not transforming into another creature. There is no evidence for it -NONE!

One author put it like this, "Evolutionists often give convincing examples of microevolution, the variation of a kind within its kind, adapting to the environment. For example, the ratio of black to white peppered moths may increase when pollution makes it easier for dark moths to escape detection; or finches may develop different beaks in response to their distinctive environment. But the moths are still moths, and the finches are still finches. There has been no change outside of the kind. Microevolution does not prove macroevolution."

Exactly!

The man Discover Magazine rated as the greatest scientific thinker in history is Sir Isaac Newton, a Christian.

36

Newton was mocked because his contemporaries couldn't understand why he believed there really was a God who created the world in six days. We are told,

Sir Isaac Newton had a friend who, like himself was a scientist. Newton was a Christian and loved the Lord Jesus Christ. However, his friend was not a Christian. He didn't even believe that there was a God!

Newton had spoken to his friend many times about how God had created a wonderful universe. Each time, though, his friend would shake his head saying, "No," and reply that the universe "just happened."

Newton had finished the design of a scale model of our solar system. A very skilled craftsman then built it from Newton's plans.

In the center was a large ball made of brass which represented the sun. Revolving around this sun were smaller balls attached to spokes of different lengths. These balls represented the planets, and the spokes placed them at the proper distances from the sun. All of these balls, representing Mercury, Venus, Earth, Mars, Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, and Neptune, were in their proper order. (Today we know that the planet Pluto is also in our solar system, but Newton did not know this.)

These balls were all geared together so that when a crank on the front was turned, they all moved in their orbits around the sun.

One day Newton was in his study reading when his friend came to visit him. His friend saw the model and instantly recognized what it was.

As he slowly cranked the model he studied it closely. He said to Newton, "This is tremendous! Who made it?"

"Nobody," Newton answered without looking up from his book.

His friend turned to him with a confused look and said, "You must not have heard me. I asked, 'Who made this wonderful model?'"

Looking up, Newton said with a perfectly straight face, "Nobody made it. Those balls and gears just appeared and put themselves together!"

His friend, now quite upset, said, "You must think I'm a fool! Of course somebody made this! He's a genius, and I'd like to meet him!"

Newton set his book aside and slowly walked across the room to his friend. As they stood in front of the model, Newton explained to his friend, "This model is just a poor imitation of our wonderful universe. You know the laws and the precise order which govern our universe. I can't seem to convince you that this model, this toy, does not have a designer or a maker. However, you have said many times that the solar system, which this model represents, 'just happened.' Now tell me, is that the logical conclusion of a scientist?"

Newton's friend quickly understood how foolish he had been. He realized that there had to be a Master Designer and Creator for everything! It wasn't long after accepting the thought that God was the Creator that he also accepted His Son, the Lord Jesus Christ, as his Saviour.

- https://bit.ly/3ExQ6i8

The problem today is that many don't get it, or they don't want to get it because the evidence for creation is overwhelming!

J. R. Norman wrote, "The geological record has so far provided no evidence as to the origin of the fishes, and shortly after the time when fish-like fossils made their appearance in the rocks . . . already differentiation from each other and firmly established . . . are represented by a number of diverse and often specialized types."

38

In other words, they are found fully formed, no transitional forms are found!

Not only did God create the sea life but also the birds.

Today there are some 10,000 different species of birds but many believe that number is a lot higher, but many have become extinct, they die off. We see that today and it is not evolution! And, like I have said, it has been estimated that there are some 430 billion birds!

So how does the evolutionist explain where birds came from?

Some say they came from dinosaurs, not true! There is no evidence for that claim!

They also tell us that reptiles evolved wings and became the birds we see today.

How did this happen?

They are not embarrassed to tell us, but I would be.

One theory says that these reptiles climbed up into a tree to escape from predators and over time they developed wings to get down, I guess! How long were they up in the tree?

The other theory is not much better. They tell us that these reptiles were running on the ground after some insects and flapping their front legs after them and over time they grew into wings to catch the insects and over time they could fly.

Here is the reality, according to W. E. Swinton, "The (evolutionary) origin of birds is largely a matter of deduction. There is no fossil evidence of the stages through which the remarkable change from reptile to bird was achieved."

Interesting, no evidence and yet that is what they believe, why?

Paul tells us in Romans 1:18-23, "For the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who suppress the truth in unrighteousness, because what may be known of God is manifest in them, for God has shown it to them. For since the creation of the world His invisible attributes are clearly

seen, being understood by the things that are made, even His eternal power and Godhead, so that they are without excuse, because, although they knew God, they did not glorify Him as God, nor were thankful, but became futile in their thoughts, and their foolish hearts were darkened. Professing to be wise, they became fools, and changed the glory of the incorruptible God into an image made like corruptible man - and birds and four-footed animals and creeping things."

They refuse to believe that God created the heavens and the earth, they suppress the truth, and they end up worshiping the creature instead of the Creator!

That is the rebellious, hard heart of man apart from God!

Philip Johnson, an evolutionist wrote "If evolution means the gradual change of one kind of organism into another kind, the outstanding characteristic of the fossil record is the absence of evidence for evolution."

The Bighorn Basin in Wyoming contains a continuous record of fossil deposits for what geologists say is five million years. Because this record is so complete, paleontologists assumed a positive trail of evolution could be found. Instead, "the fossil record does not convincingly document a single transition from one species to another" (Johnson).

Evolutionist Nile Eldredge wrote: "We paleontologists have said that the history of life [in the fossil record] supports [the story of gradual evolution], all the while knowing that it does not" (Johnson).

Keep in mind that these are evolutionists, and they don't even believe what they teach.

Steven J. Gould bluntly admitted, "The extreme rarity of transitional forms in the fossil record persists as the trade secret of paleontology."

They are not rare, they don't exist!

Eldridge and Gould noted, "Most species during their geological history, either do not change in any appreciable way, or else they fluctuate mildly in morphology, with no apparent direction."

Yes, that is microevolution, changes within that species but not one species to another or macroevolution!

Gould even goes so far as to concede that not only are transitional stages not found in the fossil record, but in many cases, we are not even able to imagine such intermediates.

This is what he wrote, "The absence of fossil evidence for intermediate stages between major transitions in organic design, indeed our inability, even in our imagination, to construct functional intermediates in many cases, has been a persistent and nagging problem for gradualistic accounts of evolution."

You see, the fossil record does not support the theory of evolution; there is not one transitional form that has been found showing the transition from one kind to another. What the fossil record does show is life that is fully formed!

Please understand that evolution is not science, it is a hoax, a deception!

<u>VERSES 24-25</u>

Now God is creating the various forms of land creatures on the sixth day of creation.

And notice that each is after its own kind. Yes, there is tremendous variation within the different kinds or species, but they will never become another kind of species, the fossil record attests to that!

Think about this for a minute, the large numbers of creatures that are out there and the numbers that have not yet been identified.

It has been estimated that there are some 6.5 million different types of species of land creatures and a staggering 86% of all species on land and, like I have said, 91% of those in the seas, have yet to be discovered, described and catalogued. And this all happened by random chance, good luck! I guess they truly believe this, but that is faith, not science!

Is there evidence that refutes evolution?

Of course there is and we have talked about some but let me give you another one that shows life does not change from one form to another.

You see, scientist can test this in the insect world for you can go through many generations in a short period of time.

For instance, the fruit fly they experimented with 80,000 generations, bombarding them with all kinds of radiation and what they ended up with is millions of fruit flies!

Even the mutations were of no use because the mutations were all harmful.

Thus, the conclusion that one professor came up with is that the data shows a fruit fly has evolved as far as it can go!

WRONG!

Then what does it show?

It just shows a fruit fly can only produce after its own kind!

In fact, you can apply this across the board, there are no transitional forms of any creature: plant, animal or fish!

George Gaylord Simpson said, "This regular absence of transitional forms is not confined to mammals, but is an almost universal phenomenon, as has long been noted by paleontologists."

In an article on animal evolution appearing in the Quarterly Review of Biology, Austin Clarke says, "Thus, so far as concerns the major groups of animals, the creationists seem to have the better of the argument. There is not the slightest evidence that any of the major groups ever arose from any other."

Let me give you another example from nature that shows there is a designer, there is a creator.

Look at this tiny insect called the bombardier beetle, only one-half inch in length and there is no way that this insect could have evolved. You see, this creature has a defense mechanism that can only work if all the parts are fully formed and functional, irreducible complexity. When attacked it can fire from twin exhaust tubes at his tail boiling-hot noxious gases with a loud pop at his enemies.

German chemist Dr. Schildknecht discovered that the beetle mixes two chemicals, hydrogen peroxide and hydroquinone.

This beetle has a special "inhibitor" chemical to keep the mixture from reacting.

How does it react then?

In the beetles specially designed combustion tubes are two enzymes called catalase and peroxidase that make chemical reactions go millions of times faster. These chemicals catalyze the extremely rapid decomposition of hydrogen peroxide into water and oxygen and the oxidation of hydroquinone into quinone, causing them to violently react and explode, but not too soon as to blow up the beetle!

And this little beetle can fire off four or five bombs in succession causing his enemy to flee.

Now if you believe this beetle evolved, how many of his ancestors had their butts blown off until they evolved all the right combustion tubes, explosive chemicals, an inhibitor, enzymes, glands, muscles to direct the combustion tubes and-so-on!

Again, what we see here is irreducible complexity or that this creature was created fully formed and did not evolve!

But couldn't life just have evolved? Not a chance.

The French Mathematician, Lecompte de Nouy, examined the laws of probability for a single molecule of high dissymmetry to be formed by the action of chance. De Nouy found that, on an average, the time needed to form one such molecule of our terrestrial globe would be about 10 to the 253 billion of years.

"But," continued de Nouy ironically, "let us admit that no matter how small the chance it could happen, one molecule could be created by such astronomical odds of chance. However, one molecule is of no use. Hundreds of millions of identical ones are necessary. Thus, we either admit the miracle or doubt the absolute truth of science."

- Charles Colson, Kingdoms in Conflict, p. 66

Leslie Orgel, who is one of the world's leading authorities on the origin-of-life studies and an evolutionist, wrote,

It is extremely improbable that proteins and nucleic acids, both of which are structurally complex, arose spontaneously in the same place at the same time. Yet it also seems impossible to have one without the other. And so, at first glance, one might have to conclude that life could never, in fact, have originated by chemical means.

Take a good look at all the land creatures, from insects, to cows, to horses and the list goes on and on. There is no chance these happened by random chance but - **IN THE**

BEGINNING GOD CREATED THE HEAVENS AND THE EARTH!

VERSE 26

Let's examine this verse, Genesis 1:26 a little closer. It reads, "<u>Then God</u> [Elohim, plural] <u>said</u> [singular verb in Hebrew], '<u>Let Us</u> [plural] <u>make man in Our image . . ."</u>"

Now what does that mean?

Please understand that this is a very important verse for us to understand because it once again shows us, from Genesis 1:1 through Revelation 22:21, that there is One God manifested in three distinct persons!

Now, in saying that, who is God speaking to here?

Some would argue that this verse is just speaking of the plurality of royalty, that God is so magnificent that you just have to speak of Him that way. There is nothing in the Scriptures that speak of that or speak of God in that way. So that is wrong.

Others feel that God is speaking to angels, but if we really think about that, it is wrong. Why is that wrong?

Because God does not need the help of angels to create things and the reality is, angels can't create things, only God can. So this also is wrong.

This is speaking, very clearly, of the Triune God, and as I have said in our previous study, they all were involved in creation process.

So the Triune God is talking about creating man in their own image.

Again, this is important for us to understand because there is a difference in creation or I should say, in the things that God created.

First of all – *PLANTS*, *TREES*, *GRASSES AND THE LIKE!*

I don't mean to offend anyone, but they are not living. They can't talk to you, and they can't hear you. They are a one-dimensional creation that have a body and are alive, but they have no consciousness. Sorry!

Then there are – ANIMALS AND BIRDS, SEA CREATURES AND INSECTS AND THE LIKE!

Again, don't get mad at me, as much as we love our pets, and all these other creatures, except for spiders my wife says, they are two-dimensional creatures.

What do I mean by that?

They obviously have a body and, different from plants, they have a soul or consciousness. But here is what they don't have. They don't have a spirit!

And then there is -MAN!

When Adam was created, he was a three-dimensional creature that was made up of body, soul or consciousness, and spirit!

In other words, he was a triune being, created in the image of God, a triune being!

What that means is when Adam was created, before sin entered the world through Adam, he was pure, he was undefiled and he manifested some of the attributes of God, like holiness, wisdom, goodness, truth, love, grace, and so on.

It was what we might call the fruit of the Spirit being manifested in Adam.

But when sin entered this world through Adam, his spirit died and now Adam and all of creation down the road would be controlled by their flesh appetites or their soul, their consciousness.

And with the spirit dead, their fellowship with God was broken. Sin separated Adam and us from God and Jesus came to restore that fellowship by going to the cross and paying in full the penalty for our sins!

I want you to also notice that man was to oversee creation, to have dominion over it.

Thus, prior to sin, this was a beautiful relationship. Everything was very good.

But now, as we look out into this world, we see the effects of sin not only upon man but also upon all of creation!

VERSES 27-31

I don't know how clear God can be on this – He created man fully formed, not evolving over millions of years! In fact, this world is only some 6,000 years old or so!

Darwin made this interesting comment. He wrote, "If it could be demonstrated that any complex organ existed which could not possibly have been formed by numerous, successive, slight modifications, my theory would absolutely break down."

Guess what?

His theory is not only broken, but it should also be thrown in the trash! Think about it.

The heart, what is the function of the heart without blood vessels. And then you need blood, and you need lungs to expel carbon dioxide and take in oxygen and the kidneys to keep the PH of the body in line. And so much more.

My point is this. Unless we or any other creature is fully formed, there is no process for it to evolve. And when it has been created fully formed, there may be variations within it, but not a new creature.

Watch the National Geographic shows, the shark has not changed in millions of years, they will tell you. Why?

Because it is a Shark!

Listen to this brief explanation of how the eye functions, just part of it. We are told,

In an article in *Byte magazine* (April 1985), John Stevens compares the signal processing ability of the cells in the retina with that of the most sophisticated computer designed by man, the Cray supercomputer:

While today's digital hardware is extremely impressive, it is clear that the human retina's real time performance goes unchallenged. Actually, to simulate 10 milliseconds (one hundredth of a second) of the complete processing of even a single nerve cell from the retina would require the solution of about 500 simultaneous nonlinear differential equations 100 times and would take at least several minutes of processing time on a Cray supercomputer.

Keeping in mind that there are 10 million or more such cells interacting with each other in complex ways, it would take a minimum of 100 years of Cray time to simulate what takes place in your eye many times every second.

- https://bit.ly/3MldeDf

Professor Richard Goldschmidt, a geneticist at the University of California at Berkley, listed a series of complex structures (from the hair of mammals to hemoglobin) he thought could not have been produced by thousands of years of small mutations.

"The Darwinists met this fantastic suggestion with savage ridicule. As Goldschmidt put it, 'this time I was not only crazy but almost a criminal.'... To suppose that such a random event could reconstruct even a single complex organ like a liver or kidney is about as reasonable as to suppose that an improved watch can be designed by throwing an old one against the wall." (Johnson)

Make no mistake about it, life is very complex, just look at the cell itself. In regard to a cell, one cell, we are told,

The cell is the most complex and most elegantly designed system man has ever witnessed. Professor of biology Michael Denton, in his book entitled *Evolution: A Theory in Crisis*, explains this complexity with an example:

To grasp the reality of life as it has been revealed by molecular biology, we must magnify a cell a thousand million times until it is twenty kilometers in diameter and resembles a giant airship large enough to cover a great city like London or New York.

What we would then see would be an object of unparalleled complexity and adaptive design.

On the surface of the cell we would see millions of openings, like port holes of a vast space ship, opening and closing to allow a continual stream of materials to flow in and out.

If we were to enter one of these openings we would find ourselves in a world of supreme technology and bewildering complexity . . . (a complexity) beyond our own creative capacities, a reality which is the very antithesis of chance, which excels in every sense anything produced by the intelligence of man. . . ." . . .

Chandra Wickramasinghe describes the reality he faced as a scientist who had been told throughout his life that life had emerged as a result of chance coincidences:

"From my earliest training as a scientist, I was very strongly brainwashed to believe that science cannot be consistent with any kind of deliberate creation. That notion has had to be painfully shed. At the moment, I can't find any rational argument to knock down the view which argues for conversion to God. We used to have an open mind; now we realize that the only logical answer to life is creation - and not accidental random shuffling."

- Source Unknown

"But Pastor Joe, what about the evolution of man? We have seen the evidence for that, haven't we?"

No, we haven't and I will show you that man has always been man and will be man. I am going to move quickly here, but I want you to understand that there is no evidence for the evolution of man!

I am going to start out with a creature they call – **RAMAPITHECUS!**

He is supposed to be some 12 to 14 million years old and was found in the Siwalik hills in Northeast India in 1932 by G. E. Lewis.

What he found was a two-inch piece of jawbone and later on a few small pieces of a jawbone and a few teeth.

From that they were able to determine this creature's height, his posture, length of limbs, shape of his head and even the amount of body hair. That is one heck of a fish story!

One of the problems and there are problems, is that "Bones say nothing about the fleshy parts of the nose, lips or ears. Artists must create something between an ape and a human being; the older the specimen is said to be, the more ape-like they make it."

In the 1970's complete jaws of Ramapithecus were found by Andrews, Walker, Leaky and Pilbeam. The complete jaws they found were U-shaped, therefore belonging to an ape and not egg-shaped which would be a human jaw.

So Ramapitheucs is not on his way to becoming human. He is an extinct orangutan!

So Ramapitheucs can be removed from the evolutionary charts.

From there let's move to a creature called - AUSTRALOPITHECUS!

He is supposed to be 1 to 4 million years old and the most famous of these finds was named Lucy. Lucy was found in Ethiopia between 1972 and 1977 by Donald Johanson.

And what he found was a 40% complete skeleton supposedly 3 million years old!

The teeth that were found were ape teeth that were characteristic of ape teeth. A skull which shows ape-like cranial features. A knee joint which bends like our knee joint. Her left pelvic bone showed that she walked upright or so they said. Pretty impressive until you get the rest of the story.

Let's look at what we have. We have an upper part of the skeleton which is very ape-like, in fact identical to that of a pigmy chimpanzee.

The knee joint does appear to be truly human.

Have we found the missing link?

Looking at the evidence, with everything being ape-like except the knee joint, what question would you like to ask Mr. Johanson?

How about, "How close to this ape skeleton was this knee joint found?"

That is a great question which was asked on November 20, 1986 at the University of Missouri. Mr. Johanson was reluctant to answer the question, but the students kept pressing him.

51

He finally said that the knee joint was found 1½ miles away and over 200 feet lower in the ground than the ape-like skeleton that he joined it to.

Please understand, even though this creature is in museums across America,

Australopithecus is not evolving into man.

This is nothing more than an orangutan or in the case of "Lucy", the upper part of the skeleton was probably a pigmy chimpanzee and the knee joint, which was found 1½ miles away and 200 feet deeper than the rest of the skeleton, was fully human and not belonging to the rest of the skeleton.

So Australopithecus can be removed from the evolutionary charts!

Then there is $-JAVA\ MAN!$

Not the coffee guy but this guy is dated from around 500,000 years ago.

He was discovered in the South Pacific Island of Java in 1891 by a Dutch physician by the name of Eugene Dubois.

What he found was an ape-like skull cap and a year later and 46 feet away from the first find, he found a human leg bone and two molar teeth.

So what is the problem with this find?

Over 30 years after this find, before his death, Dr. Dubois admitted that near the first find he also found two fully human skulls and four human leg bones. How can you have fully human skeletons next to those that are evolving into humans? You can't!

He had concealed some of the evidence.

What Dr. Dubois found was nothing more than a giant gibbon or ape.

It took over 30 years for the truth to come out!

So Java Man can be removed from the evolutionary charts.

From there we move to – **PEKING MAN!**

He has been dated to be some 500,000 years old as well and he was found in a limestone hill some 25 miles from Peking, China called Choukoutien.

What was found were some 30 skulls. But, with all 30 skulls, they had the bases of their skulls bashed in.

These skulls were small and triangular in shape and thus resembling the skulls of the great apes.

Also, they were found in a location which had an ash heap 23 feet deep from a furnace and the heat from the furnace was so hot that it melted and fused the rock around it. Kind of hard for primitive man to do this unless he was not primitive, and he wasn't!

Some other information that was held back was that there were six fully human skeletons that were excavated from the same site. Obviously they built the furnace and used it!

All the evidence for this creature disappeared between 1941 and 1945. All we have is a plaster of paris model of the most complete skull.

The skulls that were found were nothing more than ape skulls.

And in this part of the world people eat monkeys. The problem is, they don't eat monkey meat because it is so tough. What they would do after they caught the monkey was cut off its head, throw it in a pot of boiling water for a period of time, pull it out, bash in the skull and eat the brain.

So this creature was nothing more than a monkey whose brains served as man's meal.

The human bones found at the site were just that, human.

So Peking Man can be removed from the evolutionary charts.

I am sure we are all familiar with – **NEANDERTHAL MAN!**

He dates back some 100,000 years and the first skeleton of Neanderthal man was found in 1856 at the Neander Valley near Dusseldorf Germany.

Since that time many skeletons have been found throughout Europe.

What did they find?

The skull showed thickened eye-brow ridges, practically no chin, his jaw was bigger than the average jaw, the skull was larger than average, his bones were thick, and his legs and back were curved causing him to walk bent over or hunch-backed.

What is the problem with this creature being in the linage of man?

In 1910 we found Neanderthal man living in the Philippines!

What do I mean by that?

They had a skeletal structure identical to Neanderthal man.

How can that be?

They suffered from rickets, which is caused by vitamin D deficiency and arthritis which would both explain the boney deformities. You see, the West European Neanderthal's are today's West European's!

So Neanderthal Man can be removed from the evolutionary charts, he is man!

I am sure you have heard of – *CRO -MAGNON MAN!*

He lived some 12,000 to 30,000 years ago and some skeletons were found in France around 1868 and some cave paintings were also discovered in 1940.

Here is the evidence that they have, the artist's conception of what they looked like. You see, they are essentially indistinguishable from modern Europeans today.

Even today we have people that have become isolated from the rest of the world and have become social and technological degenerates through generations, even to the point of living in caves.

So Cro-Magnon Man can be removed from the evolutionary charts, he is man as well!

The next one I do love, that is – *PILTDOWN MAN!*

He lived some 500,000 years ago and was discovered in Piltdown, England by a physician named Charles Dawson in 1912.

What was found is a man-like skull cap and an ape-like jawbone.

Some 41 years after this discovery, in 1953, scientists discovered that there was a big problem with the evidence. Both the jaw and skull were stained with iron salts to make them look old and the teeth were filed down to make them look human.

So Piltdown Man can be removed from the evolutionary charts!

And then there is -NEBRASKA MAN!

We don't know how old Nebraska man is and the reason for that is simple.

But first, what they found.

Nebraska man was discovered in 1922 by Henry Fairfield Osborn, a well-known anthropologist, in a site in western Nebraska.

What did they find?

A tooth, that is all they found!

In the June 24, 1922 London News, Nebraska Man was drawn as a primitive cave man. In fact, his wife was even drawn with him.

Now that is pretty good work from one tooth. They were able to get a male and female ape-man, hair and all!

Then in 1927 they found a tooth, a jaw, and a skeleton that belonged to Nebraska Man or should we say Nebraska Pig!

What Henry Osborn found was not the tooth of an ape-man but the tooth of an extinct pig.

So Nebraska Man can be removed from the evolutionary charts, he is not even a man!

The reason for these people finding ape-men is that you get money for finding ape-men and you don't get money for finding ape or human skeletons! Sad but true!

Man was created fully formed, created by God, both male and female!

And created from the elements that are found in the dust of the earth!

One writer put it like this, "What are the scientific proof that man's body came from the dust of the ground, as the Bible says? The human body is made up of materials and minerals found on the surface of the ground, and not from the core of the earth. Oxygen, being the most abundant element on the earth's crust or on the ground, makes up 65 percent of the human body, and carbon, also abundant on the top soil of the ground, is 18 percent, and hydrogen is 10 percent. The 59 elements found in the human body are all found on the earth's crust. This is amazing because what the Bible says perfectly match the scientific composition of a human body."

Also, in the beginning man and the animals were all herbivorous, they did not eat meat, there was no death before sin entered the picture.

Plants don't possess a soul or consciousness like man and animals do. In fact, they did not eat meat until after the flood, Genesis 9:1-7.

Thus, in six days all of creation was complete and it was very good!

But it does not end there; we have one more day to go, the seventh day!

GENESIS 2

VERSES 1-3

Was God tired that He needed to rest on the seventh day?

Of course not. In fact, He did not need six days to do this in, but He was laying down a principle for us to follow.

Man was to work six days and rest on the seventh day, giving it to the Lord to spend time with Him and with family.

And isn't it interesting that the world follows what God established here in Genesis, seven day week – *PERFECTION!*

Everything was complete, perfect until sin entered the world.

I know some feel that we are under an obligation to keep the Sabbath, but that is not the point here and that is not what the Scriptures teach us.

In Colossians 2:16-17 we are told, "So let no one judge you in food or in drink, or regarding a festival or a new moon or sabbaths, which are a shadow of things to come, but the substance is of Christ."

And in Galatians 4:9-11 we are told, "But now after you have known God, or rather are known by God, how is it that you turn again to the weak and beggarly elements, to which you desire again to be in bondage? You observe days and months and seasons and years. I am afraid for you, lest I have labored for you in vain."

You see, every day should be set-aside for the Lord, to worship Him, to spend time with Him!

Also, God does not need to rest but man does and thus, God has instituted this day for us.

In John 5:17 we are told, "But Jesus answered them, 'My Father has been working

until now, and I have been working."

Thus, this is a good principle to put into practice in our lives.

Boice put it like this, "God, having completed His work of creation, rests, as if to say, 'This is the destiny of those who are My people; to rest as I rest, to rest in Me."

May we rest in the Lord and trust in His Word that He has given to us!

Again, you may not think this is important, but it is vitally important that we understand this and teach it to our children and our grandchildren!

If you don't think it is important, then listen to this from Dr. Richard Rorty, who teaches philosophy at the University of Virginia. He wrote,

Secular professors in the universities ought to arrange things so that students who enter as bigoted, homophobic religious fundamentalists will leave college with views more like our own.

Students are fortunate to find themselves under the [benevolence] of people like me, and to have escaped the grip of their frightening, vicious, dangerous parents.

We are going to go right on trying to discredit you [parents] in the eyes of your children, trying to strip your fundamentalist religious community of dignity, trying to make your views seem silly rather than discussable [to examine or consider].

- Dinesh D'Souza, The Atheist Indoctrination Project, October 22, 2007

All I can say is, don't mess with God's children!

And for us, may we take this battle seriously, because they do, and they are going after our children. May we fight back with the truth!

As I close this evening, I hope I have stimulated you to think and not believe blindly what people say, especially in regard to evolution, but not only that.

You should check me out to see if what I say is according to the Scriptures because that is the only thing that is 100% true!

And folks, let me leave you with this. When you look at the complexity of life that is out there, that there is design to it, you have to come to the conclusion that there is a creator who not only designed all this, but put it all together, that "In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth." Genesis 1:1.